

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1430 grins 22313-1450 www.uspio.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/890,088	07/26/2001	Alessandro Lambiase	5979-0107PUS1	6075
2292 7590 BIRCH STEWART KOLASCH & BIRCH			EXAMINER	
PO BOX 747			WOODWARD, CHERIE MICHELLE	
FALLS CHUF	RCH, VA 22040-0747		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1647	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/04/2011	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail $\,$ address(es):

mailroom@bskb.com

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.		Applicant(s)
	09/890,088	LAMBIASE, ALESSANDRO
Examiner		Art Unit
	CHERIE M. WOODWARD	1647

--The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

THE REPLY FILED 11 July 2011 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.
1. Q The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 4.1.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be flied within one of the following time periods:
 a) \(\sum \) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (6) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filled, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.73(4).
NOTICE OF APPEAL
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on
AMENDMENTS
3. X The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because
(a) ☑ They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below):

	(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.
	NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).
4. I	The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFB 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOI -324)

(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for

 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) _____ would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).

7. 🔀 For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) 🛭 will not be entered, or b) 🗌 will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:

Claim(s) allowed:

appeal; and/or

Claim(s) objected to:

Claim(s) rejected: 13-15, 18-20, 33, and 34.

Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: _

(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);

AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE

8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).

9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).

10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER

11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s), (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).

13. Other: _____.

(CHERIE M WOODWARD) Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1647 Continuation of 3. NOTE: Applicants amendments have been considered in the context of the Interview held on July 1, 2011. During the interview, claim amendments were discussed. The examiner also stated that a further search would be required. Upon receipt of Applicant's After-Final Amendment, the examiner performed a further search. The search revealed Lambiase WO 98/48002, as well as Lambiase US Patent Applicanton Publication 20020037584 (28 March 2002, benefit to 21 November 1997). But Lambiase US blands to 2012 that topical administration of NGF can prevent or delay the death of retinal ganglion cells (page 7, first paragraph 0 of the PGPub). This citation is set forth in order to respond to Applicants argument on page 15 of the Remarks filed 7/11/2011. The express teaching of Lambiase would necessitate further consideration of the proposed claim amendments and likely necessitate a rejection under 35 USC 103(a) over at least CKamoto and the Llambiase publications in the alternative. Applicant may be able to overcome such a rejection because it would be based, in part, over the instant inventor's own work. However, the rejection would still be required to be made of record. Accordingly, the memded claims filled 711/2011 cannot be entered.